	Case 4:16-cv-00711-HSG Docume	nt 65 Filed 10/11/16 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10		٦	
11	PRESTON JONES, on behalf of himself, all		
12	others similarly situated, and the general public,	Case No: 3:16-cv-00711-HSG	
13	Plaintiff,	SCHEDULING ORDER	
14	v.		
15	NUTIVA, INC.,	Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.	
16	Defendant.		
17 18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Jones v. Nutiva, Inc., No. 16-cv-00711-HSG SCHEDULING ORDER		
	II SOLIDO GIALLO GIADA		

18 19

16

17

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, and for good cause shown, the Court adopts the parties' proposed schedule through class certification. Accordingly, the case schedule is as follows.

Event	Date
Deadline to file motion for leave to amend pleadings	December 5, 2016
Deadline to disclose experts (including reports) to be used in support of or opposition to class certification <sup>1</sup>	May 8, 2017
Deadline to disclose rebuttal experts (including reports) to be used in support of or in opposition to class certification	July 7, 2017
Last date to file class certification motion	Thursday, July 27, 2017
Deadline for completing depositions of opening and rebuttal experts whose testimony will be used to support or oppose class certification	August 14, 2017
Deadline to file opposition to class certification motion	4 weeks after motion filed
Deadline to file reply in support of class certification motion	7 weeks after motion filed
Hearing on class certification motion	9 weeks after motion filed

The Court will set the remainder of the case schedule after its decision on plaintiff's anticipated motion for class certification.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 11, 2016

Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This deadline is without prejudice to the parties requesting a deadline for further expert disclosures in support of their claims or defenses following the Court's decision on class certification, provided however, that any further expert testimony shall not be duplicative of the subject matter of expert testimony on which the parties rely to support or oppose class certification.